

JACKSON PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

Jackson, Mississippi

Date: April 18, 2023

**Board of Trustees
Jackson Public School District
Jackson, Mississippi**

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Presented for your review on the attached page(s) are the bid tabulations for the formal bid proposals which were received in response to the bid solicitations indicated below. Bids were publicly advertised and opened according to all legal requirements (Mississippi Code of 1972, §31-7-13). All bids were tabulated, analyzed and based upon compliance with the specifications; the "lowest and best" bids were determined. Recommendations concerning the award of bids received for each bid category are contained on the attached page(s). Please consider recommendations for the following:

Bid Number	Opening Date	Bid Name
Bid 3220	04-04-23	ESSER HVAC/Indoor Air Upgrades at Jim Hill H.S.
Bid 3221	03-23-23	Pecan Park Elementary ESSER Renovations
Bid 3223	03-27-23	Window Replacement at Boyd and Green Elementary ESSER
Bid 3224	03-29-23	Window Replacement at lake, Smith and Wingfield H.S. ESSER (REJECTED)
RE-Bid 3212	03-30-23	ESSER III: Restroom Improvements for Whitten Middle School
RFP 2023-04	02-14-23	Lead Partners to Support Secondary Students in ELA and Math

It is recommended that the following action be taken by the Board of Trustees:

A. **AN ORDER** be adopted ratifying the prior solicitation of bid proposals for the equipment, supplies, commodities and/or services in each bid category indicated above.

B. **AN ORDER** be adopted authorizing the Chief Operations Officer or his designee to issue purchase orders and/or execute contracts and all related documents on behalf of the Board of Trustees to the vendors deemed to have submitted the "lowest and best" bids as indicated on the attached pages.

C. **AN ORDER** be adopted to reject the bid of bidders who failed to comply with bid requirements, for the reason(s) indicated with each bid category, on the attached pages.

D. **AN ORDER** be adopted to authorize the informal purchase of items according to all legal requirements and to the extent allowed under the law (Mississippi Code 1972, Annotated, §31-7-13(b)) for which no formal bids were received as indicated in the detailed support documentation on file in the Business Office.

Submitted by:
Mr. Earl Burke
Chief Operations Officer

Recommendation approved by:
Dr. Errick L. Greene, Superintendent
Superintendent of Schools

Information about the Content and Formatting of the Attached Bid Tabulation Sheet(s)

Pursuant to the legal requirements as outlined in the Mississippi Code 1972, Annotated, §31-7-13(d), all bid proposals received were compared to the written bid specifications and the recommendation to purchase or for rejection is indicated on the following pages, as per the manner prescribed below.

Recommendation to Purchase:

The recommendation to purchase from or award a contract to any vendor, is indicated on the attached tabulation sheet(s) by placing "Low Bid" to the right of the vendor's name/pricing and bolding the information.

Rejection of an Item or an Entire Bid:

The law requires that the District clearly state why in each instance that the lowest bid received is not the recommended "lowest and best" bid. The specific reason that an item or service, or a vendor's entire bid proposal, must be deemed "irregular" or "non-compliant" with the written bid specifications and therefore cannot be recommended for purchase, is indicated on the attached tabulation sheet(s) by drawing a line through the vendor's name/pricing and indicating the rejection reason beside the item.

Unit Price Differences Between the Lowest and the "Lowest and Best" Bid:

The unit price of all items is indicated and a difference between the bid unit price of the proposed item being rejected and the unit price of the item being recommended for purchase as the "lowest and best" can easily be computed from the data on the following pages. For each item, please see the attached tabulation sheet(s) for the bid name and number and opening date, item description, unit of measure, quantity purchased, and the unit or total pricing submitted by all vendors offering bid proposals.

Minority Vendor Participation in the Bid Process:

At the direction of the Board, all minority and women owned and operated vendors offering bid proposals are identified on the attached tabulation sheets, for informational purposes only. The following coding has been used:

- "M" Minority (Male) owned and operated business
- "W" Women (Non-minority) owned and operated business
- "B" Minority (Women) owned and operated business
- "N" Non-Minority owned and operated business

Bid 3220 (04-04-23) ESSER HVAC/Indoor Air Quality Upgrade for Jim Hill
Funding Source: 2598-900-5600-000-450-039
Recommendation: Lena Franklin

	Vendor	Location	Amount
N	Fountain Construction Co., Inc.	Jackson, MS	\$7,320,000.00

Only one (1) proposal submitted.

Bid 3221 (03-23-23) Pecan Park Elementary ESSER Renovations
Funding Source: 2598-900-5200-021-730-084
Recommendation: Sandra Robinson/Lena Franklin

Option 1			
	Vendor	Location	Amount
N	Century Construction Group, Inc.	Tupelo, MS	Base Bid \$9,357,000.00 Alt. 1 \$49,000.00 Alt. 2 \$250,000.00 Alt. 3 \$174,000.00 Alt. 4 \$218,000.00 Alt. 5 \$103,000.00 Alt. 6 \$100,000.00
W	Mayrant & Associates, LLC	Jackson, MS	Base Bid \$9,381,000.00 Alt. 1 \$47,000.00 Alt. 2 \$219,000.00 Alt. 3 \$141,000.00 Alt. 4 \$185,000.00 Alt. 5 \$47,000.00 Alt. 6 \$104,500.00
Option 2			

W	Mayrant & Associates, LLC	Jackson, MS	Base Bid \$8,381,000.00 Alt. 1 \$47,000.00 Alt. 2 \$219,000.00 Alt. 3 \$141,000.00 Alt. 4 \$185,000.00 Alt. 5 \$48,000.00 Alt. 6 \$104,500.00 Total Contract Price \$ 9,021,000.00
N	Century Construction Group, Inc.	Tupelo, MS	Base Bid \$9,069,000.00 Alt. 1 \$49,000.00 Alt. 2 \$250,000.00 Alt. 3 \$174,000.00 Alt. 4 \$218,000.00 Alt. 5 \$103,000.00 Alt. 6 \$100,000.00

Pricing Option #1 - Students and staff would remain at Pecan Park during the renovation. Contractor has limited access and the work is phased around the school's schedule.

Pricing Option #2 – Students and staff will be relocated to an alternate site. Contractors would have full access to the building.

Pricing Option #2 – Students and staff will be relocated to an alternate site. Contractors would have full access to the building.

Bid 3223 (03-27-23) Window Replacement at Boyd and Green Elementary ESSER
 Funding Source: 2598-900-5600-021-730-011 and 2598-900-5600-021-730-036
 Recommendation: Lena Franklin / Sandra Robinson

	Vendor	Location	Amount
N	J. E. Stevens Construction Group	Brandon, MS	Base Bid \$860,000.00 Alt. 1 \$167,000.00 Alt. 2 \$103,500.00 Alt. 3 \$125,000.00
N	Flagstar Construction Co., Inc.	Brandon, MS	Base Bid \$1,235,000.00 Alt. 1 \$198,000.00 Alt. 2 \$110,000.00 Alt. 3 \$145,000.00

Bid 3224 (03-29-23) Window Replacement at Lake, Smith and Wingfield H.S. ESSER
 Funding Source: 2598-900-5600-021-730-089

Recommendation: Lena Franklin / Sandra Robinson

	Vendor	Location	Amount
N	Century Construction Group, Inc.	Tupelo, MS	\$1,455,000.00 Alt. 1 \$166,000.00 Alt. 2 \$35,000.00 Alt. 3 \$46,000.00 Alt. 4 \$70,000.00 Alt. 5 \$156,000.00 Alt. 6 \$178,000.00

Bid is REJECTED.

Only one (1) vendor responded

Re-Bid 3212 (03-30-23) ESSER III: Restroom Improvements for Whitten Middle School

Funding Source: 2594-900-5600-021-730-086

Recommendation: Lena Franklin

	Vendor	Location	Base Bid	Alternate #1	Total
B	M & P Construction, Inc.	Richland, MS	\$558,386.00	\$231,428	\$789,814.00
N	Construction Specialists, LLC	Jackson, MS	Bid Proposal Incomplete		

RFP 2023-04 (02-14-23) – Lead Partners to Support Secondary Students in ELA and Math

Funding Source:

Recommendation: Rajeeni Scott- Clay

	Vendor	Location	Abbreviation
B	Limitless Learning Tutoring, LLC	Picayune, MS	\$1,312,200.00
N	Kids First Education, LLC	Laurel, MS	\$1,500.00/Student Support Session. Total recommended contract amount: \$255,000.00
B	Test-Taking Solutions, LLC	Jackson, MS	\$175,000.00
N	Bailey Education Group, LLC	Ridgeland, MS	TBD
B	The Kirkland Group	Ridgeland, MS	\$1,500.00/Student Support Session. Total recommended contract amount: \$255,000.00

For these services, the district will pay the same rate of compensation to every vendor awarded a contract, namely, \$1,500.00 per 5-hour day for secondary student support in specific programs/courses to increase student success. Vendors are being recommended for an award of contract based on the quality of their proposals.

Test Taking Solutions, Bailey Education Group and Limitless Learning’s proposals were not responsive to the district’s RFP. There was little to no evidence of a project description of components of the rubric which includes instruction is appropriately paced showing progression from grade to grade, demonstrates prerequisite skills and describes what students should be able to do by the end of each lesson/unit; and instruction includes a variety of teaching strategies to accommodate diverse learners and is culturally responsive.

The proposal for Test Taking Solutions did not include a description of the initial and on-going support provided to ensure effective implementation. Bailey Education Group and Limitless Learning’s proposal provided little to no evidence of a project description on instruction includes materials to help build students’ conceptual understanding, instruction is designed to include fluency and procedural skills needed for each

standard, and resources should include a variety of embedded formative and summative assessments (rubrics, answer keys, scoring guides) and digital resources for students.